

Health Professionals Report 2022 (Beta version) : Capacity, Accessibility and Production

Speciality of Interest : Dentist General practitioner

Authors : P. Meeus, A. Khalil, V. Maton

| Conter | ts |
|---|----|
| Introductio | n |
| Specialty Metrics and Compariso | n |
| Geographical Accessibility | У |
| Financial Accessibility | У |
| Continuous Professional Developmen | it |
| Activity Level, Working Place and Composition | n |
| Subspecialties Activity and Working Place | e |
| Accessibility, Insured Coverage | e |
| Accessibility, Patient Frequentation | n |
| Workloa | d |
| Complementari | У |
| Evolution of the Workforce Demograph | У |
| Demographic Evolution by Age Grou | p |
| Annex 1 : FTE Detai | s |



Introduction

This report provides a comprehensive overview per medical specialty working within the Belgian health insurance system, within hospital and ambulatory settings.

Professional perspective :

• Aspects covered are: capacity, production (numbers and financials), subspecialties, replacement rates. Those aspects are described by gender, age, geographical distribution, type of activity, workplace, evolution.

Patient perspective :

• Accessibility and frequentation are described by gender, age, social status, geographical distribution, evolution.

Data Sources & Transformations

This report draws insights from the "Doc P" database, encompassing patients who sought care in Belgium and claimed insurance reimbursement. The database spans from accounting years :

- 2012 to 2022 for health professionals
- 2018 to 2022 for health professionals subspecialties
- 2018 to 2021 for insured coverage and patient frequentation

Each studied year N is coupled with socio-demographic data on providers as of December 31 N. Provider activity is estimated converting reimbursement amounts into hourly workload, with those surpassing a certain reimbursement threshold being treated as 1 FTE.

To address GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation) compliance for small cell data, numbers from fewer than 5 registered providers have been hided.

Additional information

For official information regarding the number of healthcare providers :

- NIHDI : please click here
- MOH : please click here

Contact

Key Variables & Metrics

Healthcare professional perspective (specialty is determined by grouping <u>NIHDI competency codes</u>) :

- <u>Demographic characteristics</u> are age (groups by 10Y), sex (M/F), contact address (not working place), communication language (Dutch/French), convention status (full, partly), activity status (>1 intervention/year), type of prestation (see <u>NIHDI nomenclature</u>).
 <u>Numeric characteristics</u> are number of professionals (all providers registered within INAMI-RIZIV), number and cost of (reimbursed) prestation. Evolution is available since 2012 for professionals figures and since 2018 for the study of their activity.
- <u>FTE (full-time equivalent)</u> is calculated to determine the workload of a healthcare provider (= total reimbursements by provider in a given year divided by the median amount of reimbursements for providers aged 45 to 54 in the same specialty, see Annex 1). FTE values are capped at 1. The FTE for employed doctors in medical homes was estimated at 0.81 per doctor because the actual FTE cannot be evaluated given the absence of activity registration. Medical homes are not included in the productivity calculation. General practitioners with "Fee for Service" in the title specifies that doctors and patients in medical homes are excluded from the analysis.
- <u>Working place</u> : distinction is made between private, polyclinic, day hospitals, or hospital stays, depending on the place of prestation.
- <u>Subspecialty Clusters</u> : Healthcare providers within a specialty can be clustered based on ([sub] group of similar) nomenclature codes reimbursed or working place.
- Indicators of Density : FTE/10.000 insured; total activity/FTE; reimbursement/FTE, number of patients/FTE.

Patient perspective :

- <u>Demographic characteristics</u> are age (group by 10Y), sex (M/F), address of residence (not treatment place !) (by region, province, etc.), social status (normal and preferential regime [BIM])), type of specialty contacted during the year.
- <u>Patients Indicators</u> : insured coverage (% at least 1 contact) (N.B. Specialist in training included), insured frequentation (number of contacts/insured), patient frequentation (number contacts/patient).
- A KPI (Key Performance Indicator) color system is used in this report. It is shown as
- Grey for contextual information
- Green for positive performance compared to starting year
- Red for negative performance compared to starting year

Limitations & Assumptions

- Professional density : contact address and working place can be in different regions, provinces, etc. which can explain differences in density between Brussels region (working place) and peripherical contact address (Brabant). By standardizing the metrics to a consistent population size, it enables fair comparisons across different regions or provinces. It has not been done in this report.
- Patient analysis uses actual care years, not accounting years, unlike other analyses. If the analysis year is N, the last available year for patient analysis is N-1 in order to present relevant data.
- The calculation of FTEs may be impacted by modifications of competency codes over the years. A change within a specialty affects the median of reimbursements and thus generates breaks in the evolution of FTEs (see the recognition of nephrologists since 2022 for internal medicine). The median value changes depending the year (see Annex 1). In addition it is not adjusted for inflation.

appropriatecare@riziv-inami.fgov.be



Speciality Metrics and Comparison : Dentist General practitioner and Dentists

This sheet compares the specialty of interest (left) with a larger but similar group (right).

| | | | Dentist General practitioner | Dentists | |
|--------------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| | Dentist General practitioner | | | | Dentists |
| Code Competence | Description | # N SubSpecialities | 1 | 3 | Profession Dentist General |
| 30001 | Licenciates in dentistry - general dentist | # N Total | 0.0// | 10 / 00 | practitioner Orthodontics |
| 30004 | Doctors of medicine who are registered with the Order of Physicians and thereby hold a degree in dentistry | | 9,844 | 10,690 | Periodontology |
| | dentistry | # N Active | 7,757 | 8,484 | |
| | | | | | - |
| | | # Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) | 5,675 | 6,218 | |
| | | € Expenses per FTE | € 186,143 | € 181,937 | |
| | | | % Active % FTE | % Active % FTE | |
| | | 65+ | 32% 13% | 31% 13% | |
| | | | % Active % FTE | % Active % FTE | |
| | | Convention | 55% 52% | 51% 48% | |
| | | Accreditation | 68% 77% | 68% 76% | |
| | | | | 1 | L |



Geographical Accessibility (2022) : Dentist General practitioner

FTE per 10.000 insured


6

4

Geographical accessibility is measured by density, calculated by dividing the number of FTE (Full Time Equivalent) per 10.000 insured and comparing the results between provinces and regions.


Indicators :


- Geographical distribution which enables to check for homogeneity ;
- Evolution since 10 years and growth rate within the time period ;
- Comparison between FTE density and insured density to detect correlation.



| | FT | E per | 10.0 |)00 i | insu | red, | by l | Reg | ion (| 201 | 2 vs 2 | 022) |
|--------------------|----|-------|------|-------|------|------|-------|-----|-------|-------|--------|-------------|
| | 8 | | | | | | 7.01 | | | | | /ear |
| ıred | 6 | | | | , | 6.00 | | | | | | 2012 |
| 0 inst | | | 4.75 | 4.77 | | | | | 4.49 | 4.56 | | |
| per 10.000 insured | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FTE per | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | | Flar | nders |) | Bru | ssels | | Wal | lonia | | |
| | | | | | | Ree | gion | | | | | |

| De | Demographic Information by Province (2022) | | | | |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|--|
| Province | #FTE | Density (FTE per 10.000 insured) | %65+ (FTE) | %Women (FTE) | |
| West-Vlaanderen | 560 | 4.54 | 17% | 55% | |
| Oost-Vlaanderen | 720 | 4.57 | 15% | 53% | |
| Antwerpen | 829 | 4.34 | 15% | 53% | |
| Limburg | 460 | 5.23 | 18% | 52% | |
| Vlaams-Brabant | 658 | 5.61 | 10% | 57% | |
| Brussels | 804 | 7.01 | 8% | 47% | |
| Brabant Wallon | 266 | 6.45 | 11% | 60% | |
| Hainaut | 449 | | 16% | 51% | |
| Namur | 197 | 3.87 | 12% | 52% | |
| Liège | 633 | 5.70 | 12% | 50% | |
| Luxembourg | 100 | 4.35 | 17% | 53% | |
| Total | 5,675 | 4.92 | 13% | 52% | |





FTE per 10.000 insured in Belgium (2022) 4.93~ 2012: 4.79 (+2.83%)




Financial Accessibility (2022) : Dentist General practitioner

Financial accessibility is measured by the number of conventioned FTE (Full time equivalent) by 10.000 insured. Convention means that the professional is committed to respect prices determined in the NIHDI convention. This agreement can occur partly (at specific hours during the week) or totally (all the working hours).

Indicators :

- % FTE meeting the criteria / total FTE
- Financial accessibility is gauged by conventioned FTE (Full Time Equivalent) per 10,000 insured.





CPD (continuing professional development) is measured by accreditation criteria.

Accreditation means that the professional meets several CPD (continuous professional development) criteria (which indicates the will for quality of care).


Indicator :


• % FTE meeting the criteria / total FTE

| % Accredited FTE (2022) | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| 77% 2012: 73% (+5.3%) | |

| % Accredited FTE by Language and Gender | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| Language F M Total | | | | | | |
| FR | 77% | 63% | 70% | | | |
| NL | NL 87% 76% 82% | | | | | |
| Total 83% 70% 77% | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |


| Demo | Demographic Information by Province | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|--|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Province | Density (FTE per 10.000 insured) | Density (Accredited FTE per 10.000 insured) | % Accredited FTE | | | |
| West-Vlaanderen | 4.54 | 3.83 | 84% | | | |
| Oost-Vlaanderen | 4.57 | 3.83 | 84% | | | |
| Antwerpen | 4.34 | 3.31 | 76% | | | |
| Limburg | 5.23 | 4.32 | 82% | | | |
| Vlaams-Brabant | 5.61 | 4.49 | 80% | | | |
| Brussels | 7.01 | 4.94 | 70% | | | |
| Brabant Wallon | 6.45 | 4.41 | 68% | | | |
| Hainaut | 3.33 | | 67% | | | |
| Namur | 3.87 | 2.70 | 70% | | | |
| Liège | 5.70 | 4.30 | 75% | | | |
| Luxembourg | 4.35 | 2.73 | 63% | | | |
| Total | 4.92 | 3.77 | 77% | | | |








Subspecialties Activity and Working Place (2022) : Dentist General practitioner





| | | / | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Description | | Specific Group | |
| Consultations visits and medical advices | | Consultation | Consultation |
| Dental care | | Dental Care | Dental Care : G |
| Stomatology | | Orthodontie | Orthodontie |
| Plastic surgery | | Prevention | Dental Care : P |
| Otorhinolaryngology | | X-Ray (Dental) | Medical Imagi |
| | | | |
| | Consultations visits and medical advices Dental care Stomatology Plastic surgery | Consultations visits and medical advices Dental care Stomatology Plastic surgery | Consultations visits and medical advices Consultation Dental care Dental Care Stomatology Orthodontie Plastic surgery Prevention |

| Specific Group | Description | | |
|----------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Consultation | Consultation | | |
| Dental Care | Dental Care : General | | |
| Orthodontie | Orthodontie | | |
| Prevention | Dental Care : Prevention | | |
| X-Ray (Dental) | Medical Imaging : X-Ray Dental | | |
| | | | |



Subspecialties are identified by their working place and type of activity (see previous page): the assignment of a health care provider to a sub-specialty depends first on the type of activity exercised. An active provider with at least 10% of reimbursements in a type of activity is considered specialized in this activity. However, the most complex activities (eq transplantation) are not subject to a minimum threshold. If no particular activity has been identified for the speciality, the assignment is made on the criterium of the workplace: hospital, polyclinic, private. If there is no clear distinction between the different locations, then the cluster is named "Mixed". Clusters less than 5 FTE or less than 0,5% of total FTE are left out. Comparison of clusters helps to understand differences in nature of work.

Indicators :


• % FTE by type of cluster

• % type of activity (in Euro) / total reimbursement (in euro) by cluster





Accessibility, Insured Coverage (2021) : General dentist





Accessibility, Patient Frequentation (2021) : General dentist

Frequentation of patients (number of contacts) is a complementary measure to understand accessibility.

<u>Indicator</u> : number of contacts (by category op patient) is respectively divided

- per insured


- per patient (insured who at least has one contact with health provider)


Category of patients are defined by several criteria : gender, social status, age group, geographic residence, evolution.

| (202 | ured Frequentat 21) 1.04 018: 1.07 (-2.39% | | ed Coverage 51% ! 18: 52% (-1.7%) | (2021) | Frequentation 2.0 :: 2.0 (-0.71%) |
|------|--|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | Age Class Patients | Insured Frequentation | Insured Coverage | Patient Frequentation | |
| | 00-09 | 0.79 | 43% | 1.8 | |
| | 10-19 | 1.28 | 60% | 2.1 | |
| | 20-29 | 0.86 | 48% | 1.8 | |
| | 30-39 | 1.03 | 52% | 2.0 | |
| | 40-49 | 1.14 | 55% | 2.1 | |
| | 50-59 | 1.22 | 56% | 2.1 | |
| | 60-69 | 1.17 | 55 <mark>%</mark> | 2.1 | 0/ 5 |
| | 70-79 | 0.99 | <mark>4</mark> 8% | 2.1 | % [|
| | 80+ | 0.63 | 31% | 2.0 | |

| on | Average Patient Frequentation by Social Status (2018 vs 2021) |
|----|---|
|) | 2.4 2.4 Year 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.018 |
| | 2021 € 2021 |
| | Batient Frequentitie State State </th |
| | 0 BIM Standard |

% Differences Patient Frequentation between Provinces





| Province | Insured Frequentation | Insured Coverage | Patient Frequentation |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| West-Vlaanderen | 1.02 | 54% | 1.9 |
| Oost-Vlaanderen | 1.02 | 53% | 1.9 |
| Antwerpen | 1.01 | 53% | 1.9 |
| Limburg | 1.10 | 57% | 1.9 |
| Vlaams-Brabant | 1.07 | 54% | 2.0 |
| Brussels | 1.13 | 4 <mark>6%</mark> | 2.5 |
| Brabant Wallon | 1.08 | 52% | 2.1 |
| Hainaut | 0.93 | 43% | 2.1 |
| Namur | 0.95 | 47% | 2.0 |
| Liège | 1.12 | 51 <mark>%</mark> | 2.2 |
| Luxembourg | 0.89 | 45% | 1.9 |



Workload (2021) : General dentist

Workload by specialty provides insights into the work volume per year of the specialty by FTE and their patient base population (Individual patients are allocated to one single professional per specialty per year to build the patient base population for each single professional/ provider) (N.B. Specialist in training are excluded).

Indicators (by province)

- Workload : contacts / FTE
- Patient base population: Patients / FTE
- Patient base population turnover : Providers/ patient
- Contacts per patient per provider
- Average age of total contacts per FTE


Limitation : contact address of health professionals can be different than the location of patients. This can explain differences in workload results (contact/FTE, patients/FTE) and lead to misinterpretation for geographical criteria (province) especially for small numbers of working professionals. Also if the number of FTE by cell is inferior to 5, contacts per FTE and patients per FTE have been hided.

| Average Contacts per FTE (2021) | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2,072 | | | |
| 2018: 2,113 (-1.94%) | | | |
| | | | |
| Average Patients per FTE (2021) | | | |
| 1,026 | | | |
| 2018: 1,039 (-1.23%) | | | |
| | | | |
| Average Providers per Patient (2021) | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 2018: 1.1 (+2.11%) | | | |
| Average Contacts per Patient and | | | |
| Provider (2021) | | | |


1./

2018: 1.8 (-2.76%)

| Contacts per FTE | Patients per FTE | Contacts per Patient and Provider |
|---------------------|---|--|
| 2233 | 1188 | 1.7 |
| 21 96 | 115 <mark>3</mark> | 1.7 |
| 2287 | 1206 | 1.6 |
| 2082 | 1078 | 1.7 |
| 1 <mark>892</mark> | 963 | 1.7 |
| 1571 | 638 | 1.9 |
| 1644 | 797 | 1.7 |
| 2727 | 1276 | 1.8 |
| 2467 | 1219 | 1.7 |
| 1929 | 882 | 1.9 |
| 1962 | 1019 | 1.7 |
| | FTE 2233 2196 2287 2082 1892 1571 1644 2727 2467 1929 | FTEFTE2233118821961153228712062082107818929631571638164479727271276246712191929882 |





Contacts Distribution by Age of Patients





Complementarity with its similar group (2021) : General dentist








Healthcare workforce demographics presents active professionals engaging in more than one activity per year on the <u>left side</u> of the page, while Full-Time Equivalents (FTE) are displayed on the <u>right side</u>. The analysis spans the past decade and is segmented by professional characteristics such as age class, gender, and language. Active indicators (Left):

- Number of Actives (>1 prestation /accounting year) and its % growth rate
- Replacement Rate: Active professionals above 55 years compared to those below 55 years.
- Inactivity: % of inactive professionals in relation to the total.
- New Active Providers per Year: Annual influx of new providers (derived from linear regression to estimate the average rate)

FTE indicators (Right):

• Equal proportion of gender: Indicates the percentage of women FTE in relation to the total FTE.

• Average FTE: Indicates the level of activity by dividing the FTE below 65 years with the total active workforce.








Demographic evolution by age group and activity of older professionals (provides information on the demographic stability).

Indicators :

- Trend in agegroup distribution (active/FTE),
- Age FTE : calculates the average of a professional's age multiplied by their corresponding Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) value.
- Contribution of older practitioners to the overall activity: % 65+ FTE/ Total FTE









Annex 1: FTE Details (2022) : Dentist General practitioner

<u>FTE (full-time equivalent)</u> is calculated to determine the workload of a healthcare provider (= total reimbursements by provider in a given year divided by the median of reimbursements for providers aged 45 to 54 in the same specialty).

The median amount of reimbursement for providers aged 45 to 54 is calculated each year. See the evolution over the ten past years. It is not adjusted for inflation.

FTE values are capped at 1. See the the comparison per active providers by sex, language and age group.

N.B. The FTE for employed doctors in medical homes was estimated at 0.81 per doctor because the actual FTE cannot be evaluated given the absence of activity registration.

